

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,026	08/11/2005	Hideaki Yamaoka	10921.0286USWO	4688
52835 7590 10/31/2007 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2902	2	AR501, 1.0.	MEAH, MOHAMMAD Y	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0902	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1652	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1000	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/526,026	YAMAOKA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mohammad Meah	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/16/07.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,11-14 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,11-14 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dojected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 6-9, 11-14 and 24 were examined in the previous action. With supplemental amendment of this application, the applicant, on dates on 08/16/07, amended claims 12.

Claim Rejections

35 U.S.C 112

Second paragraph requirement

Rejection of claims 12 under 35 U.S.C. Second paragraph requirement

is withdrawn after amendment of the claim.

35 U.S.C 112

I. Written Description requirement

Rejection of claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, Written Description requirement is withdrawn after amendment of the claims.

II. Enablement requirement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Rejection of claims 1-11 and 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, enablement requirement is withdrawn after amendment of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,026

Art Unit: 1652

CLAIM Rejection - 35 U.S.C 103a

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Rejection of claims 1, 6-9, 11-14 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) by Shimomura et al (Anal biochem 1986 vol 153, pp 126-131) in view of Inose et al. (Biochemia biophysica acta 2003, 133-138) is withdrawn after applicant argument and submition of the English translation of their prior art.

However the following new 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection is applied using another new art:

Claims 1, 6-9, 11-14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) by Shimomura et al (Anal biochem 1986 vol 153, pp 126-131) in view of Sode et al. (WO 02/36779, English translation in US 20-04/0023330)

Claims 1, 6-9, 11-14 and 24 are directed to the purification of *Burkholderia* GDH protein comprising α , β , γ using liquid chromatography using eluent containing cholate wherein the GDH.

Shimomura et al teaches the purification of Cytochrome bc (a protein having electron transfer and GDH unit) protein using liquid chromatography comprising phenyl-sepharose and

Application/Control Number: 10/526,026

Art Unit: 1652

70011tiol 14011ber: 10/020,02

ion exchange (DEAE (detergent exchange anion exchange) sepharose column, wherein eluent is applied at constant gradient containing 0.25% cholate.

Sode teaches GDH protein from microorganism *Burkholderia Cepacia* comprising α , β , γ subunit and teaches expression of said protein in E. coli and also teaches the purification of said GDH protein by column and ion-exchange chromatography.

However Sode et al. does not teach the elution of said columns with a hydroxyl cholate gradient. Advantageous use of cholate as an eluent in hydrophobic-interaction liquid chromatography to purify Cy GDH proteins is well documented (Shimomura et al. (Anal biochem 1986 vol 153, pp 126-131). As such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain GDH protein comprising α , β , γ subunit from microorganism *Burkholderia Cepacia* or said GDH produced by transformant such as *E. coli* taught by Sode and use the method of purification using liquid chromatography comprising phenyl-sepharose and ion exchange (DEAE (detergent exchange anion exchange) sepharose column, wherein eluent is applied at constant gradient containing 0.25% cholate as taught by Shimomura et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Meah whose telephone number is 571-272-1261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax

Art Unit: 1652

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad Younus Meah, PhD

Examiner, Art Unit 1652

Recombinant Enzymes, 3C31 Remsen Bld

400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: 517-272-1261